# Exhibit 1

Torres, Irene-Luisa - Vol. 1, /0

1/30/2008

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN COUNTY OF NEW YORK CASE NO. 07-CV-3215 MILAGROS IMPORTS LIMITED, A NEW YORK CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PROGRESS VANTAGE LIMITED, A FOREIGN CORPORATION,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF: IRENE-LUISA TORRES TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before ELIZABETH A. WILLESKI, RPR, and a Notary Public, held at the office of STORCH AMINI MUNVES, PC, 2 Grand Central Tower, New York, New York 10017, on January 30, 2008, commencing at 9:30 in the morning.

े जगांकी के जिल्ला

LiveNote World Service 800.548.3668 Ext. 1

77. J. M. A. C. L.

Page 29

- the BETTA outsole. I gave him the artwork for
- the BETTA I had reduced. It had been reduced
- already, but you dump it into a croakie. It got
- increased, so it had to be made smaller, the
- whole positioning of the outsole, the
- determination of the outsole was at my
- <sup>7</sup> dictorate.

8

9

10

11

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. When you say the artwork, are you saying BETTA?
- A. The graphic work, the design of a floral pattern, which was my choice to be used as well as the placement of the BETTA.
- Q. When you were giving directions to Frank Mak, those directions did not include changes to the five letters, BETTA, and the way those five letters appeared, correct?
- A. Changes as to whether it would be raised or inverted, yes, that was done. The size of it was, the color, and the outsole of what it is, and that is an injection issue, it is pretty generic on the outsole.
  - Q. But the style of the letters --
- A. It was the style I had chose to use originally for BETTA and it was consistent throughout my packaging. We put it in a

Page 30 croakie. We talked a little bit about a Q. Korean manufacturer, it is true, isn't it -strike that. We talked about Sina, a Korean company, correct? Um-hum. Α. It is true, isn't it, that Progress Ο. assigned a line of credit for Milagros? At the time, because of the 1.0 Α. amount, it came very quickly for us to have to 1 1 get the, our bank loan for it, so I had actually 12 called John Lau who was in, who was part of 13 Arda, to ask him would he do the opening of the 14 LC for me, and I would pay him the interest and whatever was the whole entire draft amount, and he was unavailable because he was traveling, so 17 that when I asked William would he open the LC 1.8 for me, at which I paid them back the interest 19 rate they requested and their draft. 20 What does LC stand for? 21 Q. Letter of credit. 22 Α. Letter of credit? 23 Ο. Correct. 24 Α. Progress opened that for you? 25 Q.

Page 31 Yes, he did. 1 Α. Okay. I'm going to direct your 2 Ο. attention to Paragraph 14 on page 4, second 3 line, it says. "...Progress made no attempt to 4 defend the mark in which it now claims ownership 5 rights." MR. MORETTI: I'm sorry, what paragraph? MR. LEAVITT: Paragraph 14. 9 You testified earlier about an 10 Ο. issue with Neet Feet, remember that? 11 12 Α. Yes. And this paragraph is talking about 13 events that occurred around that controversy; is 14 that correct? 15 That's correct. 16 Α. You say Progress made no attempts 17 Ο. to defend the mark. Do you see that? 18 That is correct, um-hum. 19 Α. Progress directed you to attornies 20 Q. to deal with that? 21 No, that is not entirely correct. 22 Α. Not entirely correct? 23 Q. No, that is not. At the time I 24 Α. received the letter, the letter was sent to my 25

#### 1/30/2008 Torres, Irene-Luisa - Vol. 1, /0

Page 87 Paragraph 2: "Do we have permission to use the 1 font in the USA. If not, please tell me what it 2 is, because if I can use it indicate -- strike that -- if I can use it, wouldn't mind -- see that? 5 Yes. Α. That font that you are referring to is the BETTA font that Progress used in China, Tar Arm Track correct? Yes. Α. 10 You were asking them if you could 11 Ο. use that font, correct? 12 I was, again, trying to -- this was 13 Α. all preliminary stages. I was trying to find 14 out what was the parameter of this BETTA, okay, 15 I referred to it as a license, I mean, it is not 16 a license, if it is not known. It was a name, a 17 mark, that is incorrect that I called it a 18 license, but, basically, this was inquiring, 19 and, again, you can see that the direction here 20 is for me to continue forward, because I'm 21 developing a line. I'm developing packaging. 22 It is all, again, what I'm doing for myself

because, again, what I was looking to do with

this, and, again, I'm also very clear in the

23

24

25

Page 88 e-mail that where I state in talking with both 1 This BETTA name can't be Ben and Burt. 2 brand-specific or factory brand-specific, and 3 that's very important, because in that alone, it says specifically what I have been trying to say 5 here, that factory brand specific, meaning it 6 can't have its onus to one factory or be owned by a factory or brand specific, meaning that it can't be owned as a brand, okay, by someone else, so to speak, and I do use brand and 10 license interchangeably with name or whatever, 11 so, again, if that is incorrect, I should not 12 have used the term license, but that is an error 13 on my part for saying that. 14 In your opinion -- strike Okay. Ο. that. You testified that a brand or mark 17 that was associated with one factory would have 1.8 a very tough time establishing itself in the US 19 Is that a fair characterization of your market. 20 testimony? 21 Can you be specific? I don't 22 Α. believe I testified that. 23 You were testifying that the brand 24 cannot be tied to one factory. 25

Page 89 I'm saying, in my opinion, what I 1 Α. was looking for and what I wanted to go forward, 2 I did not want a factory brand-specific or a brand-specific mark, license, logo. And you didn't want a factory specific mark because why? 6 Because if it is owned by a factory, you can only produce with one factory, then you are limited to what that factory does, plus, I wasn't interested in -- I'm starting my 10 own business. I want something I can control, 11 where I can have use of something wherever, whenever, however I wanted, and I would not want 13 to be tied to a factory, a conservative brand, 14 like taking a Laura Ashley license, etc. 15 Would retailers in the US have any 16 problems in dealing with a factory specific 17 18 brand? I'm not quite sure what you mean by 19 Α. 20 that. Strike that. We'll get to that 21 Q. 22 later. If you look down at Number 6, you 23 see that paragraph? 24 25 Yes. Α.

Page 90 See six lines up, it says: "It is 1 Ο. the same way we did when we launched the Karen 2 Neuberger and Laura Ashley lines." Do you see 3 that? 5 Yes, I do. Α. Those brands were launched under 6 Ο. 7 licenses, correct? 8 Α. Yes. If you look at page 2, Number 7. 9 Ο. 10 Α. Yes. It says: "Please advise if there 11 Ο. are royalties we would have to pay to BETTA or 12 13 Goddess." Do you see that? Yes. 14 Α. Royalties are normally paid under a 15 Ο. license arrangement; is that right? 16 MR. MORETTI: Objection. 17 You can answer if you know. 18 19 Yes. Α. And at this point in time, on 20 Ο. October 2nd, 2003, you under that, you might 21 have to pay royalties to Goddess, right? 22 No, I did not understand that. 23 was trying to find out whether this name was 24 owned by Goddess, held by Goddess or somebody 25

Page 124 You used Zack Black? Q. No, I did not use Zack Black. Α. produced them for them. You had labels that said Zack Black Q. or without labels? They said, we want a private They pick from your line and you end program. up producing that under their label, so they tell you what they want. They give you the labels or make a label and have it approved, and 10 if they don't have packaging in your area, they will ask you to create the packaging and submit 12 it to them for approval, and when they approve 13 it, you move forward with it, that does not give 14 you the right to use it. You are producing for 15 16 them. The I. Luisa Torres line and the 1.7 BETTA line were separate lines, correct? 18 When you say separate, do you mean, 19 Α. like, were they sold to different people or 20 They are two separate different entities? 21 I consider them two lines. 2.2 That was my question. They were 2.3 two separate lines? 24 They are two separate lines. Okay. 25 Α.

Page 125 There are some customers who you 1 Q. just sold I. Luisa Torres to? There are some that I sold both to. 3 Α. There are some you just sell I. 4 Q. Luisa Torres to? 5 Yes. Α. 6 Some you just sell BETTA, yes? 7 Q. Α. Yes. And some you sell both to? Q. Yes. 10 Α. They are separate lines? 11 Q. Yes. 12 Α. Now, I want to talk to you a little 13 Ο. bit about other factories. It was your 14 testimony that Progress was well aware that 15 other factories were producing goods with the 16 BETTA mark on it for use in commerce in The 17 United States; am I right? 18 That is correct. 19 Α. And Progress also knew that the 2.0 Q. BETTA mark would appear on products other than 21 slippers, right? 22 23 Α. Did you have conversations with 24 Q. William or Lynn where -- strike that. 25

J. 1237.7

Page 126 (Defendant's Exhibit-17 was marked 1 for identification.) 2 \_\_\_\_\_\_Q. \_\_\_I place before you a document 3 marked for identification as Defendant's Exhibit-17. Do you see that document? Yes, I do. Α. This is an e-mail chain where in Ο. there are some e-mails between you and Lynn, correct? 9 No, Raymond and Lynn. 10 Α. We talked about a letter of credit 11 Ο. that Progress sent for you to a Korean company. That's correct. 13 Α. And that's what this e-mail refers 14 Q. to, correct? 15 That is correct. Α. 16 And the Korean company, what sort 17 Q. of products did they produce? 18 For me, socks. 19 Α. And by opening, by sending this 20 Ο. letter of credit, Progress actually supported 21 your use of this Korean company; am I right? 22 MR. MORETTI: Objection to form. You 23 can answer the question. 24 I'll rephrase. By sending the 25